1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KAYSHON LAMONT MOODY,	No. 2:22-CV-2020-TLN-DMC-P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	A. SCOTLAND, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to	
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 21, 2023, the Court directed Plaintiff to file an amended	
19	complaint within 30 days. Plaintiff was warned that failure to file an amended complaint may	
20	result in dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and	
21	orders. See Local Rule 110. To date, Plaintiff has not complied.	
22	The Court must weigh five factors before imposing the harsh sanction of dismissal.	
23	See Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000); Malone v. U.S. Postal	
24	Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987). Those factors are: (1) the public's interest in	
25	expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its own docket; (3) the risk of	
26	prejudice to opposing parties; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits;	
27	and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions. See id.; see also Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52,	
28	53 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam). A warning that the action may be dismissed as an appropriate	
		1

Case 2:22-cv-02020-TLN-DMC Document 20 Filed 02/22/24 Page 1 of 2

1	sanction is considered a less drastic alternative sufficient to satisfy the last factor. See Malone,		
2	833 F.2d at 132-33 & n.1. The sanction of dismissal for lack of prosecution is appropriate where		
3	there has been unreasonable delay. See Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1423 (9th Cir.		
4	1986). Dismissal has also been held to be an appropriate sanction for failure to comply with an		
5	order to file an amended complaint. See Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir.		
6	1992).		
7	Having considered these factors, and in light of Plaintiff's failure to file an		
8	amended complaint as directed, the Court finds that dismissal of this action is appropriate.		
9	Based on the foregoing, the undersigned recommends that this action be dismisse		
10	without prejudice, for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders.		
11	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District		
12	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 14 days		
13	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written		
14	objections with the court. Responses to objections shall be filed within 14 days after service of		
15	objections. Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal. <u>Se</u>		
16	Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).		
17			
18	Dated: February 21, 2024		
19	DENNIS M. COTA		
20	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		